UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,073	04/21/2005	Pascal Bruna	Q86738	6183
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/06/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/532,073	BRUNA, PASCAL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. The post of the communication of the communication of the communication.			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matters,				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and t	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:				

10/532,073 Art Unit: 3754

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 7, please change "its" to the correct claimed limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Page 3

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3,5-11,13-20 of copending Application No. 10/532,961. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/532,961 encompass all the limitations of the above noted claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. 5,564,414 in view of Barberi et al. 6,327,017 and Liou 5,895,159.

Walker et al. disclose an electronic display device (130) including a display member (131), the device being characterized in that the display member is permanent (col. 7, II. 35-57), the energy required to change the display being created by interaction

10/532,073

Art Unit: 3754

between two elements (123,135), thereby creating an electric pulse, the pulse being processed by an electronic circuit before being applied to the display member in order to change its display (col. 7, II. 35-57), the display member is of the liquid crystal display (LCD) type as seen in Figure 2D, a fluid dispenser (10), a reservoir (13), striker pin (123), a spring (128). Walker et al. lack that no energy is required to keep the display unchanged and the display device operates without a battery. Barberi et al. teach the used of a bistable nematic liquid crystal display for use small portable devices (col. 19, II. 50-55). Liou discloses a current producer (60) that produces an instantaneous current upon a pressing bar (31) striking an internal flint (col. 2, II. 47-53) in order to avoid the use of an external power source (col. 1, II. 45-55).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the bistable nematic LCD of Barberi et al. in place of the LCD of Walker et al. in order to preserve power. The modified reference would require no energy to keep the display unchanged and only a small electric pulse to change it.

Further, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have replaced the battery and switch mechanism of Walker et al. and Barberi et al. with the current producer of Liou and its associated components, in order to produce the electric pulse needed to change the LCD display without the need for an external power supply.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/2008 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571)-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frederick C. Nicolas/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754 Application/Control Number: 10/532,073 Art Unit: 3754

Page 6